by a square-planar array of phosphinate O atoms and axial chloride and aqua ligands.
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#### Abstract

Bis}(\) bromo,cyano)- $\mu$-[bis(dicyclohexyl-phosphino)ethane- $\left.P, P^{\prime}\right]$-digold, $\quad\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Br}_{1.16}(\mathrm{CN})_{0.84}\right\}\right], M_{r}=931.09$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a$ $=9.772$ (3),$\quad b=15.366$ (4),$\quad c=20.973$ (5) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $102.53(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=3074.2(15) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $2.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $111.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=1774, \quad$ room temperature ( 297 K ), $R=0.029$ for 2255 reflections with $F_{o}>$ $3 \sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)$. A bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane ligand bonds one Au atom at each P atom. The Au atoms are further bonded to $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$or $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ions in a disordered manner, with the bromide populations being 0.532 (5) on Aul and 0.628 (5) on Au2.

Introduction. We are currently investigating properties of gold(I) phosphine complexes. The compound bis(bromo,cyano)- $\mu$-[bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)-ethane]-digold was synthesized in an attempt to produce compounds that would give benchmark spectra, to elucidate the nature of the emission in previously synthesized compounds (Henling, Flanagan, McCleskey \& Gray, 1992; Schaefer, Marsh, McCleskey \& Gray, 1991). However, the title compound shows no luminescence in solution or as a solid.


Experimental. The title compound was synthesized by irradiating a mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2}(\mathrm{dcpe})_{3}\right]^{2+}[\mathrm{Au}-$

[^0]$\left.(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]_{2}^{-}$and bromobenzene in acetonitrile with 366 nm light from a mercury lamp for 1 h . Crystals for X-ray diffraction were grown by vapor diffusion of ether into the acetonitrile. Crystal: a pale yellow needle elongated along a, $0.15 \times 0.21 \times 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$. CAD-4 diffractometer; $\omega$ scans; cell dimensions from 25 reflections with $29<2 \theta<41^{\circ}$; empirical absorption correction based on $\psi$ scans of six reflections, relative transmission factors 0.618 to 1.307; $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max }=0.48 \AA^{-1} ; h$ from 0 to $9, k$ from -14 to $14, l$ from -20 to 20 ; standard reflections $0 \overline{1} \overline{5}, 2 \overline{3} 1$ and $1 \overline{1} 6$ showed no significant variations; 6469 reflections measured, 2862 independent; goodness of fit for merging 1.19 ( $R_{\text {merge }}$ for 2260 reflections with exactly two observations $=0.028$ ); all reflections used in solution and refinement of the structure; coordinates of one Au atom found from Patterson map, remaining heavy atoms found by successive structure factor-Fourier calculations. At convergence with only $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ions on Au , difference maps suggested partial occupancy by $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$. Atoms of the $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ groups could not be refined, so they were introduced at fixed positions with fixed isotropic thermal parameters and their populations refined. The $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$groups were repositioned once, such that the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ group was linear and the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}$ vector was collinear with the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Br}$ vector. The population parameters for Br refined to 0.532 (5) for Br 1 and $1-0.532$ for C 1 and $\mathrm{N} 1,0.628(5)$ for Br 2 and $1-0.628$ for C2 and N 2 . Values of $F^{2}$ (positive and negative) used in
© 1992 International Union of Crystallography
$(0.014 \bar{I})^{2}$. In the final least-squares cycle, maximum shift to e.s.d. $<0.01$; final difference map had peaks of $1.25 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ and holes of $0.89 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$; all large peaks are in the vicinities of the Au and Br atoms. Atomic scattering factors taken from Cromer \& Waber (1974), dispersion corrections from Cromer (1974). Programs used were those of the CRYM crystallographic computing system (Duchamp, 1964) and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Discussion. Final parameters are given in Table 1, with selected distances and angles in Table 2.* Fig. 1 shows a view of the molecule, with the $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ ions both shown on both Au atoms. The three atoms of these ions are so close together that they could not be refined simultaneously, and even when the location of the Br atom was fixed, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distance became unrealistic. Thus our model for the cyanide is idealized and its inadequacies may explain the relatively large peaks in the final difference map that we note in the vicinity of the Au and Br atoms. The geometry of the remainder of the structure is well determined, however, and has no surprises. The Au-P distances, 2.264 (3) and 2.254 (3) $\AA$, are only slightly shorter than the average of $2.300 \AA$ reported for non-chelating $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ distances (Orpen, Brammer, Allen, Kennard, Watson \& Taylor, 1989) and the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances, even though perhaps influenced by the presence of the cyanide ligands, are 2.405 (2) $\AA$, close to the 2.413 (28) $\AA$ average reported in the same survey. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Br}$ angles full-matrix least-squares refinement; H atoms positioned by calculation ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.95 \AA$ ) and included as constant contributions to the structure factors; H -atom parameters not refined but repositioned once near conclusion of refinement; H -atom thermal parameters based on $U_{\text {eq }}$ of bonded C atom. Positional and anisotropic displacement parameters for all non- H atoms, population parameters for Brl and Br 2 , and a scale factor refined; a secondaryextinction parameter refined to zero, so it was not included in the final calculations. For 2747 reflections with $F_{o}^{2}>0, R$ on $F=0.042, w R$ on $F^{2}=$ 0.0033 , and goodness of fit $=1.53$ for 2862 reflections and 291 parameters. Variances $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)\right]$ derived from counting statistics plus an additional term, $(0.014 I)^{2}$; variances of the merged data by propagation of error plus another additional term,

[^1]Table 1. Final refined coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{4}\right)$

| $U_{\mathrm{cq}}=(1 / 3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| Aul | 1033 (0.5) | 514 (0.3) | 2767 (0.2) | 412 (1) |
| Brl | -390 (3) | 1800 (2) | 2699 (1) | 829 (11) |
| P1 | 2421 (3) | -676 (2) | 2985 (1) | 380 (8) |
| C3 | 1926 (12) | -1655 (7) | 2471 (5) | 487 (33) |
| C4 | 418 (11) | - 1660 (7) | 2048 (4) | 433 (31) |
| Cl 1 | 2406 (10) | - 1079 (6) | 3810 (4) | 338 (30) |
| C12 | 925 (11) | - 1289 (7) | 3868 (5) | 505 (36) |
| C13 | 878 (13) | -1629 (8) | 4542 (6) | 628 (37) |
| C14 | 1522 (14) | -1015 (9) | 5072 (5) | 678 (41) |
| C15 | 2992 (13) | -772 (8) | 5012 (5) | 632 (41) |
| Cl 6 | 3061 (11) | -440 (7) | 4346 (5) | 527 (37) |
| C21 | 4234 (11) | -455 (7) | 2913 (4) | 440 (31) |
| C 22 | 5298 (11) | -1151 (7) | 3225 (5) | 446 (34) |
| C23 | 6716 (12) | -990 (9) | 3055 (5) | 599 (41) |
| C24 | 7251 (13) | -82 (9) | 3239 (5) | 615 (36) |
| C25 | 6173 (15) | 621 (8) | 2962 (6) | 691 (40) |
| C26 | 4743 (12) | 461 (8) | 3121 (5) | 522 (35) |
| Au2 | 1600 (0.5) | 112 (0.3) | 1362 (0.2) | 359 (1) |
| Br 2 | 3068 (2) | 1370 (1) | 1365 (1) | 582 (7) |
| P2 | 238 (3) | - 1084 (2) | 1278 (1) | 367 (8) |
| C31 | -1640 (11) | -850 (7) | 985 (4) | 431 (34) |
| C32 | -2140 (12) | -80 (8) | 1330 (5) | 571 (35) |
| C33 | -3627 (13) | 158 (9) | 1003 (6) | 698 (45) |
| C34 | -4584 (12) | -628 (10) | 983 (5) | 749 (55) |
| C35 | -4088 (12) | - 1407 (9) | 655 (6) | 724 (56) |
| C36 | -2595 (11) | -1638(8) | 978 (5) | 574 (48) |
| C41 | 663 (11) | -1872 (6) | 691 (5) | 361 (31) |
| C42 | 2073 (12) | -2323 (7) | 919 (5) | 542 (35) |
| C43 | 2370 (13) | -2941 (8) | 402 (6) | 646 (39) |
| C44 | 2233 (13) | -2527 (8) | -260 (6) | 667 (42) |
| C45 | 824 (13) | -2105 (7) | -478 (5) | 585 (37) |
| C46 | 539 (11) | - 1457 (7) | 18 (5) | 528 (35) |

Table 2. Selected distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Brl}$ | 2.403 (3) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Aul}-\mathrm{Pl}$ | 172.0 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aul-Pl | 2.264 (3) | $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{P} 2$ | 175.5 (1) |
| Aul-Cl | 1.976 | Aul-Cl-N1 | 179.3 |
| Pl-C3 | 1.851 (11) | $\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 179.6 |
| $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | 1.842 (10) | Aul-P1-C3 | 118.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 1.843 (11) | Aul-Pl-Cll | 109.6 (3) |
| C3-C4 | 1.547 (15) | Aul-P1-C21 | 112.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | 2.406 (2) | Au2-P2-C4 | 112.7 (3) |
| Au2-P2 | 2.254 (3) | $\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 113.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Au} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.966 | Au2-P2-C41 | 112.0 (3) |
| P2-C31 | 1.841 (11) | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 102.7 (5) |
| P2-C41 | 1.837 (10) | C21-P1-C3 | 103.7 (5) |
| P2-C4 | 1.816 (10) | C21-P1-C11 | 110.1 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.127 | C4-C3-P1 | 115.7 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 1.119 | P2-C4-C3 | 113.8 (7) |



Fig. 1. An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the molecule with $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids showing the numbering system. Br and CN groups are not both present at the same position in a given molecule. H atoms are not shown.


Fig. 2. An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) projection perpendicular to the $b c$ plane showing the contents of one unit cell, with a unit cell outlined. The $c$ axis is horizontal. Au and Br atoms are shown shaded; H atoms are not shown.
are close to linear [172.0(1) and $\left.175.5(1)^{\circ}\right]$, appropriate for the +1 oxidation state of the Au. All four cyclohexyl rings are in the chair conformation, with average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance of 1.517 (11) $\AA$ and average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle of $111.5[11]^{\circ}$.

The molecules pack in the crystal more or less extended in the $z$ direction. There are a few contacts shorter than van der Waals distances; the two shortest ( $3.10,3.23 \AA$ ) involve cyclohexyl C atoms and N atoms of the cyanide groups, so they are not present in all unit cells. All other intermolecular distances are greater than $3.7 \AA$.

This work was supported by NSF Grant CHE89-22067.
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#### Abstract

Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}\right], \quad M_{r}=\) 645.1, triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=9.306$ (3), $b=16.247$ (3), $c=$ 8.972 (2) $\AA, \quad \alpha=104.72$ (2),$\quad \beta=96.38$ (2),$\quad \gamma=$ 80.44 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, V=1290.4$ (7) $\AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{m}=1.67, D_{x}=$ $1.660 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $16.93 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=654$, room temperature, $R=$ 0.062 for 4566 observed reflections. The crystal consists of cobaloxime cations and $\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}^{-}$anions. Two crystallographically independent cobaloxime cations occupy the individual centres of symmetry. The cobaloxime cations exhibit a distorted octahedral


coordination with the four oxime N donors at the equatorial positions and two pyridyl N donors at the axial sites. The tetrahedral $\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}^{-}$anion is stabilized by the large cobaloxime cation in the crystal.

Introduction. Recently the complexes of $L \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{DH})_{2} R$, where $L=$ neutral amine ligand, $R=$ alkyl group and $\mathrm{DH}=$ monoanion of dimethylglyoxime, have been extensively studied as a simple molecular model of the vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ system (Bresciani Pahor, Forcolin, Randaccio, Marzilli, Summers \& Toscano, 1985).
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